

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR - 5 2002

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)	
)	
Review of the Section 251 Unbundling)	
Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange)	CC Docket No. 01-338
Carriers)	
)	
Implementation of the Local Competition)	
Provisions of the Telecommunications Act)	CC Docket No. 96-98 /
of 1996)	
)	
Deployment of Wireline Services Offering)	CC Docket No. 98-147
Advanced Telecommunications)	
Capability)	

COMMENTS OF WORLDCOM, INC.

Lisa B. Smith
Richard S. Whitt
Henry G. Hultquist
Kimberly Scardino
WorldCom, Inc.
1133 19th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 736-6478
Kimberly.Scardino@wcom.com

Ruth Milkman
Gil M. Strobel
Lawler, Metzger & Milkman
1909 K Street, NW
Suite 820
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 777-7700
gstrobel@lmm-law.com

Dated: April 4, 2002

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction and Summary	1
A.	Only Six Years Have Passed Since the 1996 Act	2
B.	The Long Distance Example Suggests that Six Years is Insufficient to Produce Competition	3
C.	The Path Forward is Through Intramodal Competition	4
D.	WorldCom's Comments Provide the Facts, Economic Analysis and Legal Analysis to Support Adoption of Pro-Competitive Policies	7
1.	Facts and Economic Analysis	7
2.	Argument	9
3.	Summary of Requested UNEs	10
4.	Supporting Report and Declarations	11
II.	Facts and Economic Analysis	13
A.	Business Services	13
1.	Services and Players	13
2.	Incumbent LEC Local Facilities Are a Key Input for All Business Services ...	14
a)	Incumbent LECs' Networks Dwarf Those of Competitive Carriers	15
b)	It Will Take Time for Competitors to Develop Ubiquitous Networks Rivaling those of the Incumbent LECs	19
i.	Lack of Funding From the Capital Markets Has Forced Competitive Carriers to Put their Expansion Plans on Hold	21
ii.	Many Assets Remaining from CLEC Bankruptcies Cannot be Put to Competitive Use	22
3.	The ILECs' Tactics Have Prevented UNE-based Competition From Taking Hold	23
B.	Mass Market Services	24
1.	UNE-P is Critical to Competition for Residential and Small Business Customers	25
2.	UNE-P Competition Is Developing as Regulatory Hurdles are Reduced	28
3.	No Viable Alternative to UNE-P Exists to Provide Ubiquitous Competition ..	32
a)	End-to-End Facilities-Based Competition is Not Yet Viable	32
b)	UNE-Loops Alone Are Not Sufficient to Ensure Competition for Mass Market Customers	34
c)	Cable Telephony Is Not a Significant Alternative	35
d)	Wireless Is Not a Meaningful Alternative	37
C.	Broadband Services	38
1.	Business Services	39
a)	DSL Remains The Option of Choice For Business Broadband Users	40
b)	Neither Cable Modem Nor Wireless Technologies are an Effective Substitute For Business-Grade DSL	42
i.	Cable Modems	42
ii.	Wireless	43
2.	Residential Services	44

a) DSL	44
b) Cable Modem	46
c) Wireless and Satellite	47
III. Effective UNE Rules Are Essential to Realizing the Pro-Competitive Goals of the Communications Act.....	47
A. The Commission Should Adhere to the Framework Established in the Act.....	47
1. Little Intermodal Competition For Local Services Exists Today	47
2. UNEs Provide Many Benefits.....	49
3. The Commission Should Continue to Apply the Standards Adopted in the <i>UNE Remand Order</i>	50
4. The Commission Should Reject Attempts to Impose Additional Impediments to Competitive Carriers' Ability to Obtain and Utilize UNEs	52
a) The Commission Should Not Impose Use Restrictions on UNEs	53
b) The Commission Should Not Employ a Service-Specific Impairment Analysis	59
i. The Commission Should Not Create a "Broadband Exception"	60
5. Any Attempt to Impose a "More Granular Statutory Analysis" Must Be Guided By Certain Bedrock Principles	61
6. The Commission Should Not Adopt an Automatic Sunset Date	64
7. Competitors Must Be Able to Lease UNEs at TELRIC-Based Rates.....	65
i. Monopoly Providers Lack the Incentive to Invest in New Facilities or Services	66
ii. Monopoly Providers Lack the Incentive to Set Prices Competitively	67
b) TELRIC provides the Correct Measure of the Incumbent LECs' Costs.....	69
c) Setting UNE Rates at TELRIC Will Not Adversely Affect Funding for Universal Service	71
8. UNEs Play an Important Role in Sustaining Competition for Long-Distance Services	72
B. Effective Unbundling Rules Are Critical to Competition for Business Services ..	74
1. Competitive Carriers' Ability to Serve Business Customers Would Be Impaired Without Unbundled Access to High-Capacity Loops	74
a) DS-1 Loops	74
b) DS-3 Loops	75
c) OC-n Loops	76
2. Competitors Would Be Impaired Without Unbundled Access to Transport	76
3. Incumbent LECs Must Provide Multiplexing in Conjunction With UNE Loops and Transport.....	78
4. Competitors' Ability to Provide the Services They Seek to Offer Would Be Impaired Without Unbundled Access to EELs	79
5. The Commission Should Enforce the Availability of Required UNEs and UNE Combinations	80
C. UNEs And UNE-P Are Critical To Competition For Mass Market Customers ...	81
1. Consumers Benefit from UNE-P Based Competition.....	81
a) There Are No Disadvantages to Making UNE-P Available	82

2.	The FCC Should Expand the Reach of UNE-P Based Competition by Lifting Restrictions on Unbundled Switching.....	83
a)	Small Business and Residential Customers Cannot Be Served without Access to Unbundled Switching	84
b)	Availability of Unbundled Switching Does Not Discourage Facilities Deployment	88
c)	The Commission Should Narrow the Unbundled Switching Exception.....	91
D.	UNEs Are Essential to Promoting Competition for Broadband Services.....	93
1.	Competition Has Led to the Widespread Deployment of Advanced Services.	93
2.	Unbundling Obligations Have Not Significantly Reduced Incumbent LECs' Incentives to Invest in Broadband Facilities.	97
3.	Competitive DSL Offerings Depend on Access to Unbundled Local Loops	100
a)	The High Frequency Portion of the All-Copper Loop (Line Sharing & Line Splitting).....	102
i.	Line Sharing	103
ii.	Line Splitting.....	104
b)	Line Sharing over Fiber.....	105
c)	Fiber-Fed Loops/SubLoops.....	106
i.	Remote Terminals Are Fast Becoming the New Bottleneck	107
ii.	DLC and NGDLC Platforms.....	108
d)	No Viable Alternatives Exist for Competitors to Access Fiber-Fed Loops	109
i.	Collocation at the Remote Terminal is Not Feasible	109
ii.	Use of Existing Copper is Not a Viable Option	112
e)	ILECs Should Either Be Required to Unbundle the End-to-End NGDLC Loop, Including All its Features, Functions, and Capabilities, or, Alternatively, to Unbundle Both the NGDLC Loop and the DSLAM.	113
i.	ILECs should be required to Unbundle the End-to-End NGDLC Loop.	113
ii.	Remote Terminal NGDLC Functionalities and Electronics Fit Squarely Within the Commission's Existing Definition of the Loop	114
iii.	Alternatively, the Commission Should Find that CLECs Are Impaired Without Access to ILEC RT-Based DSLAMs.....	117
E.	Specific UNEs Not Covered Above.....	119
1.	NID and Inside Wire	119
2.	Signaling Networks and Call-Related Databases.....	120
a)	Signaling Networks.....	120
b)	Call-Related Databases.	122
c)	Access to the CNAM Database.....	124
d)	DA Databases.....	127
3.	OSS.....	129
IV.	Conclusion.....	134

Attachments

Technology and Economics of Cross-Platform Competition in Local Telecommunications Market (HAI Report)	Attachment A
Declaration of Edwin A. Fleming	Attachment B
Declaration of Ian T. Graham	Attachment C
Joint Declaration of Tom Stumbaugh and David Reilly	Attachment D
Declaration of Bernard Ku	Attachment E
Joint Declaration of John Gallant and Michael Lehmkuhl	Attachment F
Declaration of Michael Lehmkuhl	Attachment G
Declaration of Sherry Lichtenberg	Attachment H